Exhibit 2

```
Page 1
 1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                          DALLAS DIVISION
 3
      PREMIER ELECTRONICS, L.L.C.,
          Plaintiff,
                                       CASE NO. 3:18-cv-2036-S
 5
      v.
      ADT LLC,
 7
           Defendant.
 8
              **********
 9
                   VIDEOTAPED ORAL DEPOSITION OF
                PHILIP COURTNEY HOGAN, CPA, ABV, CFF
10
                         DECEMBER 13, 2019
11
                             VOLUME 1
              **********
12
           VIDEOTAPED ORAL DEPOSITION of PHILIP COURTNEY
13
14
      HOGAN, CPA, ABV, CFF, produced as a witness at the
15
      instance of the Defendant, and duly sworn, was taken in
16
      the above-styled and -numbered cause on the 13th day of
17
      December 2019, from 9:11 a.m. to 11:16 a.m., before
      TAMMY DICKSON CROSS, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in
18
19
      and for the State of Texas, reported by machine
20
      shorthand, at the law offices of Bennett, Weston, LaJone
21
      & Turner, P.C., 1603 LBJ Freeway, Suite 280, Dallas,
22
      Texas 75234, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
23
      Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or
24
      attached hereto.
25
      Job No. CS3815132
```

Page 52 1 have price? 2 Α Yes. 3 0 And what's that the price of? That's the price in which the security 4 Α 5 monitoring was being charged. Okay. And then both monitoring costs and 6 7 sales tax have zero dollar amounts throughout and that's because this is a gross revenue? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 0 Okay. And then the net revenue is -- appears 11 to me and let me know if I'm wrong -- it would simply be 12 a subtraction from price of the monitoring and sales tax 13 cost? 14 Α Correct. 15 Okay. And, again, not reflected on this 0 16 schedule because it's a gross revenue schedule? 17 Α Correct. 18 Okay. And then let's talk about the years and Q the way you've divided them. So it appears that there 19 2.0 is a -- a five-year calculation of gross revenue on this 21 schedule? 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. And it appears that Year 1, 2, and 3 0 24 are complete years, but then you have broken up Year 4 25 into a 10-month period and a two-month period?

Page 53 1 Α Correct. 2 Okay. Can you explain that to me? Q Because that was, I believe, the estimated 3 Α date of the -- let me see here. That was the estimated 4 date of the trial. 5 Okay. So you believe 5/18/2020 to be the set 6 7 trial date in this matter? I believe that was the case. 8 Α 9 0 Okay. 10 Α That was for the purpose of discounting any 11 money. 12 Okay. And then you have another two months 13 after that to July? Uh-huh. 14 Α 15 Why is that? 0 16 Because that would have ended the end of the 17 total -- yeah, all of 2020, the fourth year --18 Okay. Q 19 -- those two columns would equal the fourth 2.0 year's revenue. 21 Okay. So are these calendar years that are 22 represented in these columns? 23 Well, they would be -- I believe I did use --Α 24 I -- when I see the 5/18 and the 7/18, that that was the 25 date that we were referring to as the contract

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

```
Page 99
 1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                            DALLAS DIVISION
 3
      PREMIER ELECTRONICS, L.L.C.,
 4
           Plaintiff,
                                         CASE NO. 3:18-cv-2036-S
 5
      v.
 6
      ADT LLC,
 7
           Defendant.
 8
                       REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
 9
         DEPOSITION OF PHILIP COURTNEY HOGAN, CPA, ABV, CFF
                          DECEMBER 13, 2019
10
            I, TAMMY DICKSON CROSS, Certified Shorthand
11
12
      Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify
13
      to the following:
14
            That the witness, PHILIP COURTNEY HOGAN, CPA, ABV,
15
      CFF, was duly sworn by the officer and that the
16
      transcript of the oral deposition is a true record of
17
      the testimony given by the witness;
18
            I further certify that pursuant to the Federal
19
      Rules of Civil Procedure that the signature of the
20
      deponent:
21
               Χ
                      was requested by the deponent or a party
2.2
      before the completion of the deposition and is to be
23
      returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the
24
      transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and
25
      Corrections page contains any changes and the reasons
```

Page 100 1 therefor; 2. was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. 3 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, 4 related to, nor employed by any of the parties or 5 6 attorneys to the action in which this proceeding was 7 taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any 8 attorney of record in this cause, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. 9 10 Subscribed and sworn to on this the 23rd day of 11 December, 2019. 12 13 14 15

Expiration Date: 7/31/22 VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS Veritext Registration No. 571 300 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1600 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 (817) 336-3042 (800) 336-4000

Tammy Dickson Cross, CSR #6925

19

Job No. 3815132

16

17

18

2.0

2.1

22 23

24

25